

Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and has/have not been amended subsequent to that review.

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document(s) Reviewed (include title):

WAC 458-30-267: Valuation procedures for open space and timber land

Date last reviewed: 9/26/97

Reviewer: Kim M. Qually

Date current review completed: 6/17/05

Briefly explain the subject matter of the document(s):

The goal and purpose of <u>WAC 458-30-267</u> is outline the correct methods an assessor should use to value land classified as open space land under RCW 84.34.020(1) and timber land under RCW 84.34.020(3). It provides this information for use by taxpayers and local assessing officials.

Type an "X" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.

1. Public requests for review:

YES	NO	
	X	Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a public request?

If "yes," provide the name of the taxpayer/business association and a brief explanation of the issues raised in the request.

Not applicable

2. Related statutes, interpretive and/or policy statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs:

YES	NO	
	X	Are there any statutory changes subsequent to the previous review of this rule
		that should be incorporated?
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements not identified in the previous
		review of this rule that should be incorporated?
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements that should be repealed
		because the information is currently included in this or another rule, or the



	information is incorrect or not needed?
X	Are there any Board of Tax Appeals (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or
	Attorney General Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this
	rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule?
n/a	Are there any administrative decisions subsequent to the previous review of
	this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?
X	Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review of this
	rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above?

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a <u>brief</u> summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

Nothing has changed in regards to this rule since it was adopted in 1995.

3. Additional information: Identify any additional issues that should be addressed or incorporated into the rule. Note here if you believe the rule can be rewritten and reorganized in a more clear and concise manner.

Not applicable

4. Listing of documents reviewed:

Statute(s) Implemented:

<u>RCW 84.34.060</u>: Determination of true and fair value of classified land computation of assessed value;

RCW 84.40.031: Valuation of timber and timberlands -- Criteria established; and RCW 84.33.140: Forest land valuation -- Application by owner that land be designated and valued as forest land -- Hearing -- Rules -- Approval, denial of application -- Appeal.

Interpretive and/or policy statements: None

Court Decisions: None

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs): None

Appeals Division Decisions (WTDs): Not applicable

Attorney General Opinions (AGOs): None

Other Documents: None



5.	Review Recommendation:
	Amend
	Repeal/Cancel
	X Leave as is
	Begin the rule-making process for possible revision.
the	Explanation of recommendation: Provide a brief summary of your recommendation, whether e same as or different from the original review of the document(s). If this recommendation ffers from that of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference.
	recommending that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the commendation is to: Correct inaccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule;
•	Incorporate legislation;
•	Consolidate information now available in other documents; or
•	Address issues not otherwise addressed in other documents.
	<u>WAC 458-30-267</u> is achieving its intended purpose and DOR is unaware of any difficulties caused by the rule or DOR's or the counties' interpretation of it. It is written is the manner and format now preferred by DOR. There is no need to amend the rule at this time.
6.	Manager action: Date:6/20/05
_A	AL Reviewed and accepted recommendation
	mendment priority (to be completed by manager): 1 2 3 4